

STUDY THE IMPACT OF SUPPLY CHAIN DECISIONS ON OVERALL ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

Dr Dharmendra Kr Dubey^{1*}, Dr. Chetna Choudhary², Mahendra Pratap Yadav³, Mithilesh Kumar Singh⁴ and Mritunjay Kumar⁵

Abstract: As environmental awareness and regulatory demands continue to rise, the choices made within supply chains have a significant impact on an organization's ecological footprint. This study explores how various strategic and operational decisions—such as selecting suppliers, choosing transportation methods, planning inventory, and sourcing strategies—affect critical environmental performance indicators like carbon emissions, resource utilization, waste production, and energy usage. By analyzing cases from diverse industries along with existing theoretical literature, this research demonstrates that environmentally optimized supply chains not only mitigate negative ecological effects but also result in cost savings, lower risks, and increased brand reputation. Additionally, digital technologies, lifecycle assessment methods, and sustainability metrics empower companies to make more eco-efficient choices. The findings emphasize the importance for firms to integrate environmental considerations into their supply chain design and decision-making processes in alignment with global sustainability goals and regulations. This paper enhances our understanding of how supply chain management intersects with environmental sustainability while providing valuable insights for both researchers and practitioners in the field.

Keywords: Supply Chain Management, Environmental Performance, Decision

Introduction: In the contemporary world of business, supply chains represent not only the arteries through which all business activities are conducted but also a key area of concern from an environmental perspective. Every element in the process, from acquiring raw materials to delivering products to the end user, as well as product disposal, has the ability to impact the environment in terms of energy consumption, emissions, resource extraction, and waste generation. There is growing awareness

of the impact of sustaining the environment as both a strategic objective for policymakers and business organizations, thus there is greater value being placed on understanding supply chain decisions with regard to their sustainability impact. Historically, sustainability performance used to evaluate only internal processes, but now increasingly focuses on external value chain partners, logistics, and even product lifecycle planning. Choices about whether to use local or international suppliers, just-in-time inventory systems, transportation methods, or circular economy activities have enormous consequences for the sustainability impact of a business. Under increasing international initiatives such as carbon disclosure mandates, EPR and climate-related financial reporting standards, businesses are forced to identify and redesign sustainability approaches with their supply chains for better ecological impact. Consumers, investors, and regulators are among the stakeholders that are also calling for increased responsibility and transparency in supply chain operations.

*Corresponding author

¹Professor, Shree Dhanvantary College of Engineering and Technology, Surat

^{3, 4, 5}Assistant Professor, Shree Dhanvantary College of Engineering and Technology, Surat

²Assistant Professor, Bhagwant University Ajmer

E-mail: dd15091984@gmail.com,

Article received on: 07 May 2025

Published on web: 10 October 2025, www.ijsonline.org

This study looks at the methods and resources that help businesses incorporate sustainability into their supply chain management, as well as how different supply chain choices affect overall environmental performance. This study attempts to close the gap between supply chain operations and environmental goals by using a multidisciplinary lens to provide practical ideas for creating value chains that are more resilient and environmentally friendly.

Objectives of the Research: The main goal of this research is to study and assess how different supply chain decisions affect organizations' environmental performance. The study aims to fill the gap between operational decision-making and environmental sustainability through the following specific objectives:

- i. To identify key supply chain activities that significantly impact environmental performance, including sourcing, production, transportation, inventory management, and end-of-life disposal.
- ii. To examine the environmental consequences of various supply chain strategies, such as global vs. local sourcing, lean vs. agile models, and centralized vs. decentralized distribution.
- iii. To assess the role of digital technologies and sustainability metrics (e.g., carbon footprint, water usage, and waste generation) in guiding environmentally informed supply chain decisions.
- iv. To explore the effectiveness of green supply chain practices such as eco-design, reverse logistics, and circular economy models in improving overall sustainability.
- v. To provide industry-relevant recommendations for integrating environmental considerations into supply chain decision-making processes, in alignment with international regulations and sustainability goals.

Supply chain activities that significantly impact environmental performance, including sourcing, production, transportation: Environmental performance in supply chains is shaped by decisions and activities across various stages. Among these, sourcing, production, and transportation are the most influential, contributing to greenhouse gas

emissions, energy and water consumption, material waste, and overall ecological degradation.

1. **Sourcing:** Sourcing involves selecting suppliers and determining the origin of raw materials, which has a substantial environmental footprint due to:

- a) **Resource Extraction Impacts:** Mining, deforestation, and intensive agriculture contribute to land degradation, water pollution, and biodiversity loss.
- b) **Supplier Practices:** Poor environmental standards or lack of certifications (e.g., ISO 14001) at supplier sites can increase risks of emissions, waste, and non-compliance.
- c) **Geographic Choices:** Global sourcing increases emissions due to longer transportation distances, while local sourcing can reduce carbon footprints but may trade off cost or material availability.
- d) **Material Selection:** Using non-renewable, hazardous, or non-recyclable materials can escalate environmental burdens across the product life cycle.

2. **Production:** The manufacturing phase is often the most resource-intensive part of the supply chain.

Key environmental impacts include:

- a) **Energy Consumption:** Factories powered by fossil fuels contribute heavily to carbon emissions.
- b) **Water Usage and Pollution:** Many industries (e.g., textiles, chemicals) consume large volumes of water and discharge pollutants.
- c) **Waste Generation:** Inefficient processes lead to excessive scrap, packaging waste, and by-products.
- d) **Process Choices:** Decisions like batch vs. continuous production or automation levels influence energy efficiency and emissions.
- e) **Sustainable production methods** such as lean manufacturing, closed-loop systems, and cleaner technologies can significantly improve performance.

3. **Transportation and Logistics:** Logistics activities—from raw material delivery to last-mile

distribution—can cause major environmental externalities:

- a) **Fuel Emissions:** Transportation is a top contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, especially road freight and air cargo.
- b) **Modal Choices:** Switching from road to rail or sea freight can reduce emissions, though trade-offs exist in terms of speed and flexibility.
- c) **Packaging and Load Efficiency:** Over-packaging and low vehicle utilization rates increase waste and energy use.
- d) **Reverse Logistics:** Systems for returns, recycling, or reuse can either increase emissions (if inefficient) or improve sustainability when optimized.

Efforts such as route optimization, electric fleets, and smart warehousing play a growing role in minimizing transport-related impacts.

Result and Discussion: The analysis of environmental risk management (ERM) practices in supply chains reveals a significant shift in how organizations perceive and respond to environmental challenges. Important outcomes gathered from case study analysis, review of literature, and collection of statistical data within the industry suggest that there is an increasing convergence between an organization's environmental sustainability practices and its operational strategy.

A. Growing Adoption of Risk Assessment Frameworks: Many leading firms have adopted structured environmental risk assessment tools, incorporating climate modeling, water stress analysis, and supply chain vulnerability mapping. These frameworks have enabled proactive identification of risk hotspots, especially in regions vulnerable to climate extremes or regulatory tightening.

Discussion: Risk assessments are no longer isolated within environmental teams; they are now embedded into enterprise risk management systems and procurement decision-making. This integration improves agility and preparedness, especially during supply disruptions linked to natural disasters or resource shortages.

B. Regulatory Compliance Drives Innovation:

The rising stringency of environmental regulations—such as carbon pricing, extended producer responsibility (EPR), and supply chain due diligence laws—has compelled organizations to innovate. This includes the adoption of cleaner technologies, sustainable materials, and circular economy models.

Discussion: Rather than viewing compliance as a burden, forward-thinking companies are using it as a catalyst for transformation. Regulatory risk mitigation has driven investments in R&D and cross-industry collaboration, improving both environmental and economic outcomes.

C. Digital Tools Enhance Environmental Risk Visibility:

The use of IoT, AI, and blockchain technologies has significantly improved the ability to monitor, report, and mitigate environmental risks in real time. Companies can now track emissions, resource consumption, and supplier practices with greater accuracy and transparency.

Discussion: Sustainable supply chains can be advanced through digital transformation, which provides a great deal of support. The achievement of such outcome, however, relies on the presence of data, organizational collaboration, and technical skill to glean actionable information from the data. Limited access to equipment and resources hinders small businesses from adopting such change.

D. Supply Chain Resilience and Sustainability Are Interconnected: Firms that actively manage environmental risks demonstrate greater supply chain resilience. Whether through diversifying supplier bases, investing in renewable energy, or transitioning to low-impact logistics, these companies are better equipped to handle environmental disruptions.

Discussion: Sustainability is no longer a separate agenda but an essential dimension of business continuity. This shift in mindset supports long-term value creation, particularly in sectors vulnerable to climate and resource shocks (e.g., agriculture, textiles, electronics).

E. Challenges Remain in Scaling and Standardization: While many large corporations

have made progress, challenges remain in standardizing risk metrics across global operations and engaging Tier 2 and Tier 3 suppliers. Additionally, there is a gap in aligning financial planning with environmental risk strategies.

Discussion: To bridge these gaps, there is a need for universal sustainability standards, stronger supplier engagement mechanisms, and alignment of ERM with corporate finance and governance structures.

Conclusion: The findings confirm that integrating environmental risk management into supply chains enhances resilience, supports regulatory compliance, and creates strategic advantages. However, success depends on leadership commitment, technological investment, and industry-wide collaboration

Acknowledgement: Author expresses sincere gratitude to the management of Shree Dhanvantary College of Engineering and Technology, Surat and Bhagwant University Ajmer for their support and cooperation.

Funding statement: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The study was conducted with the authors' own resources.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for current work.

Author contribution: Dr. Dharmendra Dubey contributed in manuscript writing-original draft, conceptualization, data curation, experimentation, formal analysis, methodology. Other author contributed in calculation, writing, editing and review of the manuscript.

References

1. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). *From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 16(15), 1699–1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>
2. Sarkis, J. (2012). *A boundaries and flows perspective of green supply chain management*. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 17(2), 202–216. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211212924>
3. Zhu, Q., Geng, Y., & Lai, K. H. (2010). *Circular economy practices among Chinese manufacturers: Compatibility and impact on sustainability performance*. Journal of Environmental Management, 91(6), 1324–1331.
4. World Economic Forum. (2021). *The Net-Zero Challenge: Supply Chain Opportunity*. Retrieved from <https://www.weforum.org/reports>
5. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2020). *Sustainability and Circularity in the Textile Value Chain*. Retrieved from <https://www.unep.org>
6. Dubey D. et al. (2023). *A Study of Recycling and Waste Management Strategies For Mechanical Systems And Products With Legal Aspects*, Indian Journal of Science and Research. Vol.3 Issue-3. 78-83
7. Pande S. et al. (2023). *A Study of Impact of Green Infrastructure On Environment*, Indian Journal of Science and Research. Vol.3 Issue-2, 70-73
8. Dubey D. et al. (2024). *Sustainable Manufacturing Systems: Optimizing Resource Efficiency and Minimizing Environmental Impact*, Indian Journal of Science and Research. Vol.4 Issue-2, 93-97
9. Dubey D.K. et al. (2024). *The Study Of The Effects Of Improper Hazardous Waste Disposal On Ecosystems*, Indian Journal of Science and Research. Vol.4 Issue-4, 130-136
10. D. K. Dubey, Y. Varshney, R. K. Awasthi, M. P. Yadav, S. Kumar, and M. Kumar, *Digital Transformation and its Environmental Implications in Supply Chain Management*, Journal of Big Data Analytics and Business Intelligence, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 11–20, May 2025.